

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,223	09/21/2001	Ieon C. Chen	EQUUS-060A	4084
7663	7590 08/27/2003			
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER			EXAMINER	
	PRISE, SUITE 250 JO, CA 92656	NGUYEN, TAN QUANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A surface Ma	Ann Boardo)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/961,223	CHEN, IEON C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TAN Q NGUYEN	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	<u>une 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 29-37 is/are pending in the application	n						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<del></del>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	<u> </u>

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

10

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

TAN Q NGUYEN Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3661

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/961,223

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAIL ACTION**

## Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This office action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on June 31, 2003. The amendment filed on June 09, 2003 which previous has not been enter, is now entered. As per request, claims 1-28 have been canceled. Claims 29-37 have been added. Thus, claims 29-37 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Gurne et al. (5,541,840).
- 4. As per claim 29, Gurne et al. disclose the invention as claimed which includes handheld unit for downloading the fault codes generated from the onboard computer and generating a visual output signal representative of the status of the vehicle which is independent of resources external to the handheld unit (see at least figure 1 and column 7, lines 22-40).
- 5. As per claims 30 and 31, Gurne et al. further disclose that the handheld unit can be connected to a computer to correlate the fault code to problem description data for

Application/Control Number: 09/961,223 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

diagnosing the vehicle and downloading such description data (see at least column 7, lines 42-53).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurne et al. as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Kubozono et al. (5,506,772).
- 8. Gurne et al. disclose the claimed invention as discussed above except for the communication between the computer with a remote computer. However, Kubozono et al. disclose an apparatus and method of diagnosing a vehicle via a remote computer which includes the steps of connecting a portable tester to the onboard computer (see figure 1), communicating between the tester with the onboard computer to see if there is a malfunction signal of the various control systems (see column 2, lines 54-67), communicating between the tester and a personal computer regarding to the malfunction signal (see figure 1 and column 3, lines 4-15), communicating between the personal computer and a remote computer regarding to the malfunction status (see figure 1 and the related text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kubozono et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/961,223

Art Unit: 3661

into the system of Gurne et al. in order to further assistance from a remote computer when needed.

- 9. Claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurne et al. as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Gordon (4,207,611) and Fera (6,263,265).
- 10. With respect to claim 34 and 35, Gurne et al. and Kubozono et al. disclose the claimed invention as discussed above except that the handheld unit comprises selectively illuminating one of a plurality of visual indicia being representative of a different status of the vehicle. However, Gordon does suggest a portable tester which includes the indicators, "ok", "low" or "high" LEDs, each indicates the status of the various vehicle systems (see figures 1, 7 and the related text). Furthermore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention the color codes are well know used to indicate the status of the unit. For example in the Fera reference, green for a properly functioning unit, yellow for an abnormally in one of its operating parameters, and red for a critical fault (see column 3, lines 54-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Gordon and Fera into the system of Kubozono et al. and Chou et al. in order to modify the tester with the visual color indicators for not only identify the fault unit but also give the indication of how critical the fault unit is.
- 11. With respect to claims 36 and 37, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the when the trouble codes are transferred to the portable unit, the indicators on the portable unit are independent of any communication with the personal computer since it does not need the personal computer just yet.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,223

Art Unit: 3661

#### Remarks

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed on June 09, 2003 have been fully considered. The previous pending claims have been canceled and the whole new set of claims 29-37 has been added. Upon the updated search and the newly added claims, the new ground of rejections has been set forth as above.
- 13. The following references are cited as being of general interest: Gray (5,214,582), Nagayoshi et al. (5,481,906), Kamiya et al. (6,225,898) and Lang et al. (6,295,492).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Tan Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski, can be reached on (703) 308-3873.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the central official fax:

(703) 872-9306, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,223

Art Unit: 3661

/tqn August 20, 2003

TAN Q. NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661